



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Aixa Fielder <aixa1@sbcglobal.net>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:40 PM

Reply-To: Aixia Fielder <aixa1@sbcglobal.net>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Aixa Fielder
[1803 N Wilton Pl](#)
[LA, CA 90028](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Arax Maksoudian <arax@accountinglm.com>
Reply-To: Arax Maksoudian <arax@accountinglm.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:32 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Arax Maksoudian
[111 N Jackson St #201](#)
[Glendale, CA 91206](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Jacqueline Broulard <jacquelinwells@sbcglobal.net>
Reply-To: Jacqueline Broulard <jacquelinwells@sbcglobal.net>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:38 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Broulard
[3917 Black Bird Way](#)
[Calabasas, CA 91302](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:50 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Renee Klein** <renee_klein@hotmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 10:16 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Renee Klein
[4732 la Villa marina](#)
MdR, CA 90292



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:50 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Philip Dagort** <pdagort@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 10:16 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Philip Dagort
17350 Citronia St.
Northridge, CA 91325



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:50 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Marion Siu** <marionlsiu@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 10:15 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Marion Siu
1471 Avon Park Ter
Los Angeles, CA 90026



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:49 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **John Welborne** <john@welborne.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 10:15 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

There needs to be more public input on the industry-created STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to our Los Angeles neighborhoods.

And, as always, the relatively small percentages of ad revenue going into City coffers will not come anywhere close to the COST to us residents in terms of reduced safety and diminished aesthetics.

Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

Despite the huge investment of BPW bureaucratic staff time already vested in this industry – stimulated proposal, this project should STOP NOW.

At a minimum, further Board review should be delayed until there is proper study of the serious safety and environmental impacts.

Sincerely,
John Welborne
[434 S Plymouth Blvd](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90020](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:49 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Robert Blackey** <rblackey@csusb.edu>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 10:06 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Robert Blackey
351 W Radcliffe Dr
Claremont, CA 91711



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:49 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Javier Del Valle** <jdelvalle@dvacommercialre.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:53 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Javier Del Valle
PO Box 3060
Montebello, CA 90640



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:49 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **ELIZABETH Kibbey** <eparkskibbey@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:49 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
ELIZABETH Kibbey
243 Euclid ave
Long beach, CA 90803



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:48 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Probyn Gregory** <probyngregory@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:47 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Probyn Gregory
10877 Deliban St
Tujunga, CA 91042



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:48 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Vincent De Stefano** <vdestefano54@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:25 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Vincent De Stefano
580 N Sierra Madre Blvd
Pasadena, CA 91107



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:48 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Dorothy Wilkinson** <dgcitrine@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:23 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Dorothy Wilkinson
5118 De Longpre Avenue
Hollywood, CA 90027



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:48 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **John Lorick** <johnlorick@att.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:15 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
John Lorick
124 South Harper Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90048



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:47 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Stacey Smith-Clark** <staceysmithclark@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:11 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Stacey Smith-Clark

3008 Shipway Ave

Long Beach, CA 90808



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:47 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Matthew Wright** <mjwright2001@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:10 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

Greetings,

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

MATT

Sincerely,
Matthew Wright
1450 12th St # C
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:47 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Marc Woersching** <mwoersch@att.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:08 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards are traffic hazards.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Marc Woersching
PO Box 4471
Valley Village, CA 91617



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:46 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blaise Brockman** <fatherblaise@holyangelsarcadia.org>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:07 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Blaise Brockman
370 Campus Dr
Arcadia, CA 91007



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:46 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Sherry Vatter** <sgv@chem.ucla.edu>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:04 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Sherry Vatter
3362 Keystone Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90034



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Timothy Vila <brothertimmy17@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Timothy Vila <brothertimmy17@gmail.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:47 AM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Timothy Vila
731 N Griffith Park Dr
Burbank, CA 91506



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:46 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Lorna Paisley** <lpaisley@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:04 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Lorna Paisley
[6952 Balboa Blvd](#)
[Van Nuys, CA 91406](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:45 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Ann Dorsey** <aedorsey@hotmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:02 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Ann Dorsey
18042 Schoenborn St Apt 5
Northridge, CA 91325



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:45 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **SHEILA WINSTON** <sheshe@mybunnyday.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:02 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
SHEILA WINSTON
24303 Woolsey Cyn Road
West Hills, CA 91304



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:45 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Sylvia Stachura** <sstach236@charter.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:57 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Sylvia Stachura
[236 De Anza St.](#)
S. Gabreil, CA 91776



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:45 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Carlos Nunez** <cnunez001@ca.rr.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:54 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Carlos Nunez
[18009 Victory Blvd](#)
[Reseda, CA 91335](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:44 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Gina Truex** <ginaetruex@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:45 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Gina Truex
246 Angelo Place
Arcadia, CA 91006



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:44 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Tracy Silverman** <silverspoon2@att.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:44 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Tracy Silverman
[2518 S Bedford St](https://www.google.com/maps/place/2518+S+Bedford+St,+Los+Angeles,+CA+90034)
[Los Angeles, CA 90034](https://www.google.com/maps/place/2518+S+Bedford+St,+Los+Angeles,+CA+90034)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:44 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **B. E.** <barerickson@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:42 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

B. E.

V. Sch. Rd.

WLV, CA 91361



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:43 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Barbara Broide** <bbroide@hotmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:40 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Barbara Broide
[2001 Malcolm Ave.](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90025](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:43 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Carol Gordon** <thecarolanngordon@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:39 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Carol Gordon
2801 Glendower Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90027



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:43 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Lynn Ryan** <prairiefire100@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:37 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Lynn Ryan
5208 Calle Mayor
Torrance, CA 90505



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:43 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Evelyn Stern** <stern123@earthlink.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:33 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Evelyn Stern
[12367 Deerbroke Ln](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90049](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:42 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Eva Thielk** <fawnaplus4bunnies@charter.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:33 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Eva Thielk
819 E Grand Ave
Alhambra, CA 91801



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:42 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Dennis Mogerman** <globaltrav@hotmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:30 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Dennis Mogerman

12405 Venice Blvd #169

Los Angeles, CA 90066



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:42 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Dennis Gross** <denden879@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:28 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Dennis Gross

1407 Alvarado Terrace

Los Angeles, CA 90006



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:41 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Amy Gustincic** <amygustincic@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:18 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Amy Gustincic
3897 Franklin Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90027



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:41 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Stephanie Jackel** <sjackel@cox.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:03 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Jackel
[1500 GREEN OAK RD](#)
[Vista, CA 92081](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:41 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Elizabeth Barris** <contact@thepeoplesinitiative.org>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:00 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Barris

101 S. Topanga Cyn. Blvd. #586

Topanga, CA 90290



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:40 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **TIA TRIPLETT** <tia@anlf.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:46 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

TIA TRIPLETT

[3959 Berryman Ave](#)[Los Angeles, CA 90066](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:40 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jeffrey Jenkins** <JJenkin3@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:46 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Jenkins

210 Gunsmoke Drive

Diamond Bar, CA 91765



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:39 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **ROBERT REEVES** <bob@reevesconsult.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:44 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

ROBERT REEVES

107 WILTON DR

LOS ANGELES, CA 90004



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:39 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Laurie Rittenberg** <lrittenberg@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:43 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Laurie Rittenberg
4063 Farmdale Ave
Studio City, CA 91604



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:39 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Sheldon Kasdan** <bska@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:37 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Sheldon Kasdan
[1543 S. Canfield Ave.](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90035](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:38 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **P.P. Soucek** <politicek@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:35 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

P.P. Soucek

5326 Sylmar Ave

Sherman Oaks, CA 91401



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:38 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Robert Aronson** <Robert@aronson.law>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:27 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

I don't believe it's proper to have a Board Of Public Works hearing on Wednesday, seeking public input on a contract that it not part of the file yet. How can anyone comment on a contract when they can't see it in advance of the hearing? Doesn't the Brown Act require information and transparency? The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has supposedly been edited, but the edited version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

I am strongly opposed to any STAP Program which includes advertising. It's selling our outdoor space to the highest bidder who will create visual blight by installing clutter and distracting signage. Studies show that digital billboards are unsafe. They are a menace to drivers, who then injure pedestrians.

How does the STAP Program reconcile with the City's ban on off-site outdoor advertising?

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

If any of the advertising is in the Coastal Zone, a Coastal Commission permit is required, and will be strongly opposed.

Thank you for considering my opinion.

Sincerely,
Robert Aronson
[108 Catamaran Street](#)
[Venice, CA 90292](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:37 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **janet Maker** <jamaker2001@hotmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:27 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
janet Maker
925 Malcolm Av.
Los Angeles, CA 90024



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:37 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Helen Fallon** <helenandbertfallon@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:27 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Helen Fallon
[425 Linnie Canal](#)
[Venice, CA 90291](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:37 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Steve Vicuna** <steve.vicuna4@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:26 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Steve Vicuna
1800 W. First St.
Monterey Park, CA 91754



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:36 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Karen Berger** <karenliseberger@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:26 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Karen Berger
[4412 Ocean View Blvd](#)
[Montrose, CA 91020](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:36 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Elaine Livesey-Fassel** <livesey-fassel@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:25 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Elaine Livesey-Fassel
[10387 Glenbarr Ave](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90064](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:36 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Joanne Husar** <husarj@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:25 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Joanne Husar

1622 W 25th St

Los Angeles, CA 90007



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:35 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Michael Buch** <michaelbuch@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:23 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Michael Buch
[1620 Micheltorena Street](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90026](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:35 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Richard Haas-Winkelman** <safaripro@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:17 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Richard Haas-Winkelman
[5433 Barton Ave](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90038](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:35 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Faye Rye** <raindance100@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:17 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Faye Rye
[5208 Calle Mayor](#)
[Torrance, CA 90505](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:34 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Richard Dawson** <rcdawson@att.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:13 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Richard Dawson
1974 Avon Street
Los Angeles, CA 90026



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:34 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Chris Chambers** <cpchambe@usc.edu>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:11 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Chris Chambers
1029 West 67th Street
Los Angeles, CA 90044



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:34 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jerry Schneider** <jerryspe9@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:08 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Jerry Schneider
4113 sea view avenue
los angeles, CA 90065



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:34 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Judith S Anderson** <jskanderson@earthlink.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:02 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

It really is unconscionable that you would do this. It makes me wonder who is paying you.

Sincerely,
Judith S Anderson
[7752 Atoll Ave](mailto:jskanderson@earthlink.net)
[North Hollywood, CA 91605](mailto:jskanderson@earthlink.net)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:33 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Dudley and Candace Campbell** <cdcampbl@roadrunner.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:02 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Dudley and Candace Campbell
13167 Ortley Pl
Valley Glen, CA 91401



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:33 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Joan Harris** <braque.cubism@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:01 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Joan Harris

4432 Franklin Ave

Los Angeles, CA 90027



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:33 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Masami Fukuhara** <masamif@tta.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:01 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Masami Fukuhara
[3720 ALTA MESA DRIVE](#)
[Studio City, CA 91604](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:32 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Barbara Wasserman** <sarasmommy12@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:55 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Barbara Wasserman
10201 Mason Ave Unit 117
Chatsworth, CA 91311



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:32 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **diana` waters** <dianawaters09@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:55 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

WE ARE WATCHING. YOUR JOB IS NOT GUARANTEED.

Sincerely,

diana` waters

388 Paseo de Gracia

Redondo Beach, CA 90277



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:32 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Steviann Yanowitz** <steviankagen@hotmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:54 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Steviann Yanowitz
6457 Firmament Ave.
Van Nuys, CA 91406



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:31 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Alexander Fierro-Clarke** <alexfierro.film@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:51 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Alexander Fierro-Clarke
1356 Douglas St. Apt 16
Los Angeles, CA 90026



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:31 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Kim Hansen** <duminakken@hotmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:49 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Kim Hansen
[4232 Ethel Ave](#)
[Studio City, CA 91604](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:31 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Trishann Weems** <tweemz@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:46 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Trishann Weems
[10509 Andora Avenue](#)
[Chatsworth, CA 91311](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:30 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Julie Sanford** <jksanford@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:42 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Julie Sanford
15418 Gault St
Van Nuys, CA 91406



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:30 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Dennis Trembly** <trembly@usc.edu>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:41 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Dennis Trembly

27616 Longhill Drive

Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:29 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Melissa Marote** <melissa.matthews2005@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:40 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Melissa Marote
22258 Covello St
Canoga Park, CA 91303



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:29 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Aixa Fielder** <aixa1@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:40 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Aixa Fielder
1803 N Wilton Pl
LA, CA 90028



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:29 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jacqueline Broulard** <jacquelinwells@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:38 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Broulard
3917 Black Bird Way
Calabasas, CA 91302



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:28 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Ree Whitford** <reewhitford@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:37 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Ree Whitford
12341 Hartsook st
los angeles, CA 91607



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:28 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Gail McMullen** <gjmc481a@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:36 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Gail McMullen
1734 N Kingsley Dr Apt 4
Los Angeles, CA 90027



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:27 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **John MILLER** <miller@johnmillerpr.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:36 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
John MILLER
928 Oak Ridge Rd.
Los Gatos, CA 95033



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:25 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Arax Maksoudian** <arax@accountinglm.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:32 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Arax Maksoudian
111 N Jackson St #201
Glendale, CA 91206



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Diane Loughbom <jacklyn721@roadrunner.com>
Reply-To: Diane Loughbom <jacklyn721@roadrunner.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:06 AM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Diane Loughbom
721 N Valley Dr
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Rob Gallinger <robgallinger@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Rob Gallinger <robgallinger@gmail.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 6:57 AM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Rob Gallinger
[29590 Pebble Beach Dr.](#)
[Menifee, CA 92586](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Louise Bianco <lgwbiano@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Louise Bianco <lgwbiano@gmail.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 6:22 AM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Louise Bianco
[6225 Corbin Ave](#)
[Tarzana, CA 91356](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Jane Houle <usahoule@gmail.com>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 5:58 AM

Reply-To: Jane Houle <usahoule@gmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Jane Houle
[1960 Saint Albans Road](#)
[San Marino, CA 91108](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

A.L. Watts <agneswatts@shaw.ca>
Reply-To: "A.L. Watts" <agneswatts@shaw.ca>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 4:48 AM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
A.L. Watts
[1712 Winmar Dr.](#)
[L.A., CA 90065](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Charles B. <cb3jd2@sbcglobal.net>
Reply-To: "Charles B." <cb3jd2@sbcglobal.net>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 2:43 AM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Charles B.
[18550 Hatteras St Unit 106](#)
[Tarzana, CA 91356](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Celeste Hong <celestehong13@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Celeste Hong <celestehong13@gmail.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 2:08 AM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Celeste Hong
[4758 Cromwell Ave](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90027](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Pamela Gibberman <pgibberman@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 11:59 PM

Reply-To: Pamela Gibberman <pgibberman@gmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Pamela Gibberman
[9209 Kester Ave](#)
[Panorama City, CA 91402](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Renita Morton <mortonr8993@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 11:21 PM

Reply-To: Renita Morton <mortonr8993@gmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Renita Morton
[20 s Grand oaks 4](#)
[Pasadena, CA 91107](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Tony Grijalva <tonygrijal@roadrunner.com>
Reply-To: Tony Grijalva <tonygrijal@roadrunner.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 11:03 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Tony Grijalva
[3236 Velma Drive](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90068](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Lisa Laureta <snorebark@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Lisa Laureta <snorebark@gmail.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 11:02 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Lisa Laureta
[826 N Hobart Blvd Apt 21](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90029](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Rob West <robwest@sbcglobal.net>
Reply-To: Rob West <robwest@sbcglobal.net>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 11:00 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Rob West
5551 W63rd. Street
Los Angeles, CA 90056



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Glenda Ganis <gganis@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 10:56 PM

Reply-To: Glenda Ganis <gganis@gmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Glenda Ganis
[911 S Detroit St](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90036](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Margaret Shekell <mshekell@sbcglobal.net>
Reply-To: Margaret Shekell <mshekell@sbcglobal.net>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 10:37 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Margaret Shekell
[11010 Rose Ave Apt 211](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90034](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Mark Glasser <mark7glasser@ca.rr.com>
Reply-To: Mark Glasser <mark7glasser@ca.rr.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 10:31 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Mark Glasser
[3660 Barry Ave](#)
[LA, CA 90066](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Noel Gould <aquarianstudios@hotmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 10:17 PM

Reply-To: Noel Gould <aquarianstudios@hotmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Noel Gould
[728 W Paseo del Mar](#)
[San Pedro, CA 90731](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Renee Klein <renee_klein@hotmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 10:16 PM

Reply-To: Renee Klein <renee_klein@hotmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Renee Klein
[4732 la Villa marina](#)
MdR, CA 90292



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Philip Dagort <pdagort@sbcglobal.net>
Reply-To: Philip Dagort <pdagort@sbcglobal.net>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 10:16 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Philip Dagort
[17350 Citronia St.](#)
[Northridge, CA 91325](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Marion Siu <marionlsiu@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 10:15 PM

Reply-To: Marion Siu <marionlsiu@gmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Marion Siu
[1471 Avon Park Ter](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90026](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

John Welborne <john@welborne.net>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 10:15 PM

Reply-To: John Welborne <john@welborne.net>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

There needs to be more public input on the industry-created STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to our Los Angeles neighborhoods.

And, as always, the relatively small percentages of ad revenue going into City coffers will not come anywhere close to the COST to us residents in terms of reduced safety and diminished aesthetics.

Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

Despite the huge investment of BPW bureaucratic staff time already vested in this industry – stimulated proposal, this project should STOP NOW.

At a minimum, further Board review should be delayed until there is proper study of the serious safety and environmental impacts.

Sincerely,

John Welborne

434 S Plymouth Blvd

Los Angeles, CA 90020



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Robert Blackey <rblackey@csusb.edu>
Reply-To: Robert Blackey <rblackey@csusb.edu>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 10:06 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Robert Blackey
[351 W Radcliffe Dr](#)
[Claremont, CA 91711](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Javier Del Valle <jdelvalle@dvacommercialre.com>
Reply-To: Javier Del Valle <jdelvalle@dvacommercialre.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:53 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Javier Del Valle
PO Box 3060
Montebello, CA 90640



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

ELIZABETH Kibbey <eparkskibbey@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:49 PM

Reply-To: ELIZABETH Kibbey <eparkskibbey@gmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
ELIZABETH Kibbey
243 Euclid ave
Long beach, CA 90803



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Probyn Gregory <probyngregory@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Probyn Gregory <probyngregory@gmail.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:47 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Probyn Gregory
[10877 Deliban St](#)
[Tujunga, CA 91042](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Vincent De Stefano <vdestefano54@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:25 PM

Reply-To: Vincent De Stefano <vdestefano54@gmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Vincent De Stefano
[580 N Sierra Madre Blvd](#)
[Pasadena, CA 91107](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Dorothy Wilkinson <dgwcitrine@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:23 PM

Reply-To: Dorothy Wilkinson <dgwcitrine@gmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Dorothy Wilkinson
[5118 De Longpre Avenue](#)
[Hollywood, CA 90027](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

John Lorick <johnlorick@att.net>
Reply-To: John Lorick <johnlorick@att.net>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:15 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
John Lorick
[124 South Harper Ave](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90048](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Stacey Smith-Clark <staceysmithclark@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:11 PM

Reply-To: Stacey Smith-Clark <staceysmithclark@gmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Stacey Smith-Clark

[3008 Shipway Ave](#)[Long Beach, CA 90808](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Matthew Wright <mjwright2001@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Matthew Wright <mjwright2001@gmail.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:10 PM

To whom it may concern:

Greetings,

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

MATT

Sincerely,
Matthew Wright
1450 12th St # C
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Marc Woerschling <mwoersch@att.net>
Reply-To: Marc Woerschling <mwoersch@att.net>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:08 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards are traffic hazards.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Marc Woerschling
PO Box 4471
Valley Village, CA 91617



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Blaise Brockman <fatherblaise@holyangelsarcadia.org>
Reply-To: Blaise Brockman <fatherblaise@holyangelsarcadia.org>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:07 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Blaise Brockman
[370 Campus Dr](#)
[Arcadia, CA 91007](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Sherry Vatter <sgv@chem.ucla.edu>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:04 PM

Reply-To: Sherry Vatter <sgv@chem.ucla.edu>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Sherry Vatter
[3362 Keystone Ave](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90034](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Lorna Paisley <lpaisley@sbcglobal.net>
Reply-To: Lorna Paisley <lpaisley@sbcglobal.net>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:03 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Lorna Paisley
[6952 Balboa Blvd](#)
[Van Nuys, CA 91406](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Ann Dorsey <aedorsey@hotmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:02 PM

Reply-To: Ann Dorsey <aedorsey@hotmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Ann Dorsey
[18042 Schoenborn St Apt 5](#)
[Northridge, CA 91325](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

SHEILA WINSTON <sheshe@mybunnyday.com>
Reply-To: SHEILA WINSTON <sheshe@mybunnyday.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:02 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
SHEILA WINSTON
[24303 Woolsey Cyn Road](#)
[West Hills, CA 91304](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Sylvia Stachura <sstach236@charter.net>
Reply-To: Sylvia Stachura <sstach236@charter.net>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:57 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Sylvia Stachura
[236 De Anza St.](#)
S. Gabreil, CA 91776



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Carlos Nunez <cnunez001@ca.rr.com>
Reply-To: Carlos Nunez <cnunez001@ca.rr.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:54 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Carlos Nunez
[18009 Victory Blvd](#)
[Reseda, CA 91335](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Gina Truex <ginaetruex@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:45 PM

Reply-To: Gina Truex <ginaetruex@gmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Gina Truex
[246 Angelo Place](#)
[Arcadia, CA 91006](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Tracy Silverman <silverspoon2@att.net>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:44 PM

Reply-To: Tracy Silverman <silverspoon2@att.net>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Tracy Silverman
[2518 S Bedford St](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90034](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

B. E. <barerickson@gmail.com>
Reply-To: "B. E." <barerickson@gmail.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:42 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
B. E.
V. Sch. Rd.
WLV, CA 91361



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Barbara Broide <bbroide@hotmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:40 PM

Reply-To: Barbara Broide <bbroide@hotmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Barbara Broide
[2001 Malcolm Ave.](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90025](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Carol Gordon <thecarolanngordon@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Carol Gordon <thecarolanngordon@gmail.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:39 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Carol Gordon
[2801 Glendower Ave](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90027](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Lynn Ryan <prairiefire100@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Lynn Ryan <prairiefire100@gmail.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:37 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Lynn Ryan
[5208 Calle Mayor](#)
[Torrance, CA 90505](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Evelyn Stern <stern123@earthlink.net>
Reply-To: Evelyn Stern <stern123@earthlink.net>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:33 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Evelyn Stern
[12367 Deerbrook Ln](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90049](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Eva Thielk <fawnaplus4bunnies@charter.net>
Reply-To: Eva Thielk <fawnaplus4bunnies@charter.net>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:33 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Eva Thielk
[819 E Grand Ave](#)
[Alhambra, CA 91801](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Dennis Mogerman <globaltrav@hotmail.com>
Reply-To: Dennis Mogerman <globaltrav@hotmail.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:30 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Dennis Mogerman
[12405 Venice Blvd #169](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90066](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Dennis Gross <denden879@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:28 PM

Reply-To: Dennis Gross <denden879@gmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Dennis Gross
[1407 Alvarado Terrace](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90006](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Amy Gustincic <amygustincic@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:18 PM

Reply-To: Amy Gustincic <amygustincic@gmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Amy Gustincic
[3897 Franklin Ave](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90027](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Stephanie Jackel <sjackel@cox.net>
Reply-To: Stephanie Jackel <sjackel@cox.net>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:03 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Jackel
[1500 GREEN OAK RD](#)
[Vista, CA 92081](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Elizabeth Barris <contact@thepeoplesinitiative.org>
Reply-To: Elizabeth Barris <contact@thepeoplesinitiative.org>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 8:00 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Barris
[101 S. Topanga Cyn. Blvd. #586](#)
[Topanga, CA 90290](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

TIA TRIPLETT <tia@anlf.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:46 PM

Reply-To: TIA TRIPLETT <tia@anlf.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
TIA TRIPLETT
[3959 Berryman Ave](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90066](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Jeffrey Jenkins <JJenkin3@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:46 PM

Reply-To: Jeffrey Jenkins <JJenkin3@gmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Jenkins
[210 Gunsmoke Drive](#)
[Diamond Bar, CA 91765](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

ROBERT REEVES <bob@reevesconsult.com>
Reply-To: ROBERT REEVES <bob@reevesconsult.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:44 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
ROBERT REEVES
[107 WILTON DR](#)
[LOS ANGELES, CA 90004](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Laurie Rittenberg <lrittenberg@sbcglobal.net>
Reply-To: Laurie Rittenberg <lrittenberg@sbcglobal.net>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:43 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Laurie Rittenberg
[4063 Farmdale Ave](#)
[Studio City, CA 91604](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Sheldon Kasdan <bska@sbcglobal.net>
Reply-To: Sheldon Kasdan <bska@sbcglobal.net>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:37 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Sheldon Kasdan
[1543 S. Canfield Ave.](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90035](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

P.P. Soucek <politicek@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:35 PM

Reply-To: "P.P. Soucek" <politicek@gmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
P.P. Soucek
[5326 Sylmar Ave](#)
[Sherman Oaks, CA 91401](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Robert Aronson <Robert@aronson.law>
Reply-To: Robert Aronson <Robert@aronson.law>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:27 PM

To whom it may concern:

I don't believe it's proper to have a Board Of Public Works hearing on Wednesday, seeking public input on a contract that it not part of the file yet. How can anyone comment on a contract when they can't see it in advance of the hearing? Doesn't the Brown Act require information and transparency? The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has supposedly been edited, but the edited version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

I am strongly opposed to any STAP Program which includes advertising. It's selling our outdoor space to the highest bidder who will create visual blight by installing clutter and distracting signage. Studies show that digital billboards are unsafe. They are a menace to drivers, who then injure pedestrians.

How does the STAP Program reconcile with the City's ban on off-site outdoor advertising?

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

If any of the advertising is in the Coastal Zone, a Coastal Commission permit is required, and will be strongly opposed.

Thank you for considering my opinion.

Sincerely,
Robert Aronson
[108 Catamaran Street](#)
[Venice, CA 90292](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

janet Maker <jamaker2001@hotmail.com>
Reply-To: janet Maker <jamaker2001@hotmail.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:27 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
janet Maker
[925 Malcolm Av.](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90024](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Helen Fallon <helenandbertfallon@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Helen Fallon <helenandbertfallon@gmail.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:27 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Helen Fallon
[425 Linnie Canal](#)
[Venice, CA 90291](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Karen Berger <kareneliseberger@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Karen Berger <kareneliseberger@gmail.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:26 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Karen Berger
[4412 Ocean View Blvd](#)
[Montrose, CA 91020](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Elaine Livesey-Fassel <livesey-fassel@sbcglobal.net>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:25 PM

Reply-To: Elaine Livesey-Fassel <livesey-fassel@sbcglobal.net>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Elaine Livesey-Fassel
[10387 Glenbarr Ave](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90064](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Joanne Husar <husarj@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Joanne Husar <husarj@gmail.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:25 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Joanne Husar
[1622 W 25th St](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90007](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Michael Buch <michaelbuch@sbcglobal.net>
Reply-To: Michael Buch <michaelbuch@sbcglobal.net>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:23 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Michael Buch
[1620 Micheltorena Street](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90026](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Richard Haas-Winkelman <safaripro@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Richard Haas-Winkelman <safaripro@gmail.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:17 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Richard Haas-Winkelman
[5433 Barton Ave](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90038](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Faye Rye <raindance100@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:17 PM

Reply-To: Faye Rye <raindance100@gmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Faye Rye
[5208 Calle Mayor](#)
[Torrance, CA 90505](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Richard Dawson <rcdawson@att.net>
Reply-To: Richard Dawson <rcdawson@att.net>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:13 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Richard Dawson
[1974 Avon Street](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90026](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Chris Chambers <cpchambe@usc.edu>
Reply-To: Chris Chambers <cpchambe@usc.edu>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:11 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Chris Chambers
[1029 West 67th Street](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90044](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Jerry Schneider <jerryspe9@sbcglobal.net>
Reply-To: Jerry Schneider <jerryspe9@sbcglobal.net>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:08 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Jerry Schneider
[4113 sea view avenue](#)
[los angeles, CA 90065](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Judith S Anderson <jskanderson@earthlink.net>
Reply-To: Judith S Anderson <jskanderson@earthlink.net>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:02 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

It really is unconscionable that you would do this. It makes me wonder who is paying you.

Sincerely,
Judith S Anderson
[7752 Atoll Ave](#)
[North Hollywood, CA 91605](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Dudley and Candace Campbell <cdcampbl@roadrunner.com>
Reply-To: Dudley and Candace Campbell <cdcampbl@roadrunner.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:02 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Dudley and Candace Campbell
[13167 Ortley Pl](#)
[Valley Glen, CA 91401](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Joan Harris <braque.cubism@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Joan Harris <braque.cubism@gmail.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:01 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Joan Harris
[4432 Franklin Ave](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90027](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Masami Fukuhara <masamif@ttaa.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 7:01 PM

Reply-To: Masami Fukuhara <masamif@ttaa.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Masami Fukuhara
[3720 ALTA MESA DRIVE](#)
[Studio City, CA 91604](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:53 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Renita Morton** <mortonr8993@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 11:21 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Renita Morton
20 s Grand oaks 4
Pasadena, CA 91107



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:52 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Tony Grijalva** <tonygrijal@roadrunner.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 11:03 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Tony Grijalva

3236 Velma Drive

Los Angeles, CA 90068



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:52 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Lisa Laureta** <snorebark@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 11:02 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Lisa Laureta

826 N Hobart Blvd Apt 21

Los Angeles, CA 90029



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:52 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Rob West** <robwest@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 11:00 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Rob West
5551 W63rd. Street
Los Angeles, CA 90056



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:51 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Glenda Ganis** <gganis@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 10:56 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Glenda Ganis
[911 S Detroit St](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90036](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:51 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Margaret Shekell** <mshekell@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 10:37 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Margaret Shekell
[11010 Rose Ave Apt 211](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90034](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:51 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Mark Glasser** <mark7glasser@ca.rr.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 10:31 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Mark Glasser
3660 Barry Ave
LA, CA 90066



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Aug 16, 2022 at 7:50 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Noel Gould** <aquarianstudios@hotmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 10:17 PM

Subject: CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Noel Gould
728 W Paseo del Mar
San Pedro, CA 90731



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Ree Whitford <reewhitford@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:37 PM

Reply-To: Ree Whitford <reewhitford@gmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Ree Whitford
[12341 Hartsook st](#)
[los angeles, CA 91607](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Gail McMullen <gjmc48la@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:36 PM

Reply-To: Gail McMullen <gjmc48la@gmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Gail McMullen

1734 N Kingsley Dr Apt 4

Los Angeles, CA 90027



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

John MILLER <miller@johnmillerpr.com>
Reply-To: John MILLER <miller@johnmillerpr.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:36 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
John MILLER
[928 Oak Ridge Rd.](#)
[Los Gatos, CA 95033](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Barbara Wasserman <sarasmommy12@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Barbara Wasserman <sarasmommy12@gmail.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:55 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Barbara Wasserman
[10201 Mason Ave Unit 117](#)
[Chatsworth, CA 91311](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

diana` waters <dianawaters09@gmail.com>
Reply-To: diana` waters <dianawaters09@gmail.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:55 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.
WE ARE WATCHING. YOUR JOB IS NOT GUARANTEED.

Sincerely,
diana` waters
[388 Paseo de Gracia](#)
[Redondo Beach, CA 90277](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Steviann Yanowitz <steviannkagen@hotmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:54 PM

Reply-To: Steviann Yanowitz <steviannkagen@hotmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Steviann Yanowitz
[6457 Firmament Ave.](#)
[Van Nuys, CA 91406](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Alexander Fierro-Clarke <alexferro.film@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:51 PM

Reply-To: Alexander Fierro-Clarke <alexferro.film@gmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Alexander Fierro-Clarke
[1356 Douglas St. Apt 16](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90026](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Kim Hansen <duminakken@hotmail.com>
Reply-To: Kim Hansen <duminakken@hotmail.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:49 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Kim Hansen
4232 Ethel Ave
Studio City, CA 91604



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Trishann Weems <tweemz@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:46 PM

Reply-To: Trishann Weems <tweemz@gmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Trishann Weems
[10509 Andora Avenue](#)
[Chatsworth, CA 91311](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Julie Sanford <jksanford@sbcglobal.net>
Reply-To: Julie Sanford <jksanford@sbcglobal.net>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:42 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Julie Sanford
[15418 Gault St](#)
[Van Nuys, CA 91406](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Dennis Trembly <trembly@usc.edu>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:41 PM

Reply-To: Dennis Trembly <trembly@usc.edu>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Dennis Trembly
[27616 Longhill Drive](#)
[Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

Melissa Marote <melissa.matthews2005@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:40 PM

Reply-To: Melissa Marote <melissa.matthews2005@gmail.com>

To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
Melissa Marote
[22258 Covello St](#)
[Canoga Park, CA 91303](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

CF 20-1536 and CF 20-1536-S1 Comments: STAP Contract Needs More Public Input

1 message

John MILLER <miller@johnmillerpr.com>
Reply-To: John MILLER <miller@johnmillerpr.com>
To: City Clerk <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 6:36 PM

To whom it may concern:

RE: BPW-2022-0539, CF 20-1536 and 20-1536-S1

I write to urge you to demand more input and transparency on the STAP contract that the Board of Public Works is scheduled to review during its meeting on Wednesday, Aug. 17.

The STAP Program will introduce more unwanted and unsafe digital signage to LA neighborhoods.

No safety studies have been conducted, nor have environmental impact studies. Evidence shows that digital billboards kill.

An EIR should be done for a program of this scope. An MND is not enough.

The contract to be reviewed on Wednesday has been edited, but the marked-up version of the document has not been publicly shared, nor has a chart showing comparisons between the two versions.

The City Attorney Office's report is also not available for public review.

We do not know if the totally unacceptable newly proposed LAMC allowing undefined numbers and types of advertising structures on OUR public right-of-way remains part of the STAP program. It must not go forward and must be removed.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,
John MILLER
[928 Oak Ridge Rd.](#)
[Los Gatos, CA 95033](#)